Asymptotics of the eigenvalues of fourth order Birkhoff regular boundary value problems



Bertin Zinsou
SAMS 2022 Conference
Stellenbosch University, 6 December 2022

Outline

- 1. Applications.
- 2. Introduction.
- 3. Self-adjoint fourth order problems
- 4. Birkhoff regular fourth order problems

Applications

Physical applications which are described by fourth order problems are vibration of strings and stability of flexible missiles.

Introduction

- Birkhoff has given an expansion theorem and an estimate for Green's function of the class of regular boundary conditions (Birkhoff regular), [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1908), 219-231].
- Salaff has done a deep investigation of Birkhoff regular boundary conditions and has provided a simplified version of these conditions. In addition, he has proved that self-adjointness implies Birkhoff regularity for even order operators, [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (1968), N2, 355-373].
- Mennicken and Möller, using the boundary matrices, have given a simplified definition of Birkhoff regular problems, [Non-self-adjoint boundary eigenvalue problems, vol 192, Noth-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2003].

Introduction

On the interval [0, a] we consider the boundary value problem

$$y^{(4)} - (gy')' = \lambda^2 y, (1)$$

$$B_j(\lambda)y = 0, j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
 (2)

where a > 0, $g \in C^1[0, a]$ is a real valued function.

The boundary terms $B_i(\lambda)y$ have the forms:

$$\begin{cases} y^{[p_1]}(0) = 0, & y^{[p_2]}(0) = 0, \\ y^{[p_3]}(a) + i\beta_3 \lambda y^{[q_3]}(a) = 0, & y^{[p_4]}(a) + i\beta_4 \lambda y^{[q_4]}(a) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3)

with $0 \le p_1 < p_2 \le 3$, $0 \le q_3 < p_3 \le 3$, $0 \le q_4 < p_4 \le 3$ and $0 < p_3 < p_4 \le 3$.

We recall that the quasi-derivatives associated with (4) are given by

$$y^{[0]} = y, \ y^{[1]} = y', \ y^{[2]} = y'', \ y^{[3]} = y^{(3)} - gy', \ y^{[4]} = y^{(4)} - (gy')'.$$

On the interval [0, a] we consider the boundary value problem

$$y^{(4)} - (gy')' = \lambda^2 y, (4)$$

$$B_j(\lambda)y = 0, \ j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$
 (5)

The boundary terms $B_i(\lambda)y$ have the forms

- $ightharpoonup B_j(\lambda)y = y^{[p_j]}(a_j)$ or
- $B_j(\lambda)y = y^{[p_j]}(a_j) + i\varepsilon_j \alpha \lambda y^{[q_j]}(a_j),$

where $a_j = 0$ for j = 1, 2, $a_j = a$ for j = 3, 4, $\alpha > 0$ and $\varepsilon_j \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Define

$$\Theta_1 = \{ s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} : B_s(\lambda) \text{ depends on } \lambda \}, \ \Theta_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \Theta_1,
\Theta_1^0 = \Theta_1 \cap \{1, 2\}, \quad \Theta_1^a = \Theta_1 \cap \{3, 4\}.$$

Assumption

The numbers p_1 , p_2 , q_j for $j \in \Theta_1^0$ are distinct as well as the numbers p_3 , p_4 , q_j for $j \in \Theta_1^a$.

We denote by U the collection of the boundary conditions (5) and define the following operators related to U:

$$U_0 y = (y^{[p_j]}(a_j))_{j \in \Theta_1}$$
 and $U_1 y = (\varepsilon_j y^{[q_j]}(a_j))_{j \in \Theta_1}, \quad y \in W_4^2(0, a).$

We put $k = |\Theta_1|$ and consider the linear operators A(U), K and M in the space $L_2(0, a) \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$ with domains

$$\mathscr{D}(A(U)) = \left\{\widetilde{\mathbf{y}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ U_1 \mathbf{y} \end{pmatrix}: \ \mathbf{y} \in W_4^2(0,a), \ \mathbf{y}^{[p_j]}(a_j) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \Theta_0 \right\},$$

$$\mathscr{D}(K) = \mathscr{D}(M) = L_2(0,a) \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$$
 given by

$$(A(U))\widetilde{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y^{[4]} \\ U_0 y \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \widetilde{y} \in \mathscr{D}(A(U)), \ K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The conditions under which the differential operator $A(\boldsymbol{U})$ is self-adjoint are given by

Theorem (Möller & Zinsou, Theorem 1.2, QM, 34(2011))

Denote by P_0 the set of p in $y^{[p]}(0)=0$ for the λ -independent boundary conditions and by P_a the corresponding set for $y^{[p]}(a)=0$. Then the differential operator A(U) associated with this boundary value problem is self-adjoint if and only if p+q=3 for all boundary conditions of the form $y^{[p]}(a_j)+i\alpha\varepsilon_j\lambda y^{[q]}(a_j)=0$ and $\varepsilon_j=1$ if q is even in case $a_j=0$ or odd in case $a_j=a$, $\varepsilon_j=-1$ otherwise, $\{0,3\}\not\subset P_0$, $\{1,2\}\not\subset P_0$, $\{0,3\}\not\subset P_a$ and $\{1,2\}\not\subset P_a$.

We will distinguish the following different cases of boundary conditions at the endpoint 0:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Case 1}: (p_1, p_2) = (0, 1), \text{ Case 2}: (p_1, p_2) = (0, 2), \\ \text{Case 3}: (p_1, p_2) = (1, 3), \text{ Case 4}: (p_1, p_2) = (2, 3). \end{cases}$$
 (6)

Hence, we get

$$y^{[p_j]}(0) = 0, j = 1, 2 \tag{7}$$

$$y^{[p_j]}(a) + i\alpha\varepsilon_j\lambda y^{[q_j]}(a) = 0, j = 3, 4,$$
(8)

$$0 \le p_1 < p_2 \le 3$$
, $p_1 + p_2 \ne 3$, $(p_3, q_3) = (2, 1)$, $(p_4, q_4) = (3, 0)$, $\varepsilon_3 = 1$ and $\varepsilon_4 = -1$.

The asymptotics of the eigenvalues are given by

Theorem (Möller & Zinsou, Theorem 5.2, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, **6**(2012))

For $g \in C^1[0,a]$, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that the eigenvalues λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the problem (4), (7), (8), where $B_1(y) = y^{[p_1]}(0)$, $B_2(y) = y^{[p_2]}(0)$, $B_3y = y''(a) + \lambda y'(a)$ and $B_4y = y^{[3]}(a) - i\lambda y''(a)$ are $\lambda_{-k} = -\overline{\lambda_k}$, $\lambda_k = \mu_k^2$ for $k \ge k_0$ and the μ_k have the asymptotics

$$\mu_k = k \frac{\pi}{a} + \tau_0 + \frac{\tau_1}{k} + \frac{\tau_2}{k^2} + o(k^{-2})$$

and the numbers τ_0 , τ_1 , τ_2 are as follows:

Theorem (cont)

Case 1:
$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 1$,

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha},$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{16} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{8} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha} - \frac{a((1 - \alpha^2)^2 + \alpha^2 g(0))}{4\pi^2 a^2}.$$

Case 2:
$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 2$,

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha},$$
$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{8} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{4} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha} - \frac{a(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{4\pi^2 a^2}.$$

Theorem (cont)

Case 3:
$$p_1 = 1$$
, $p_2 = 3$,

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{\pi}{a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha},$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha} - \frac{a(1 - \alpha^2)^2}{4\pi^2 a^2}.$$

Case 4:
$$p_1 = 2$$
, $p_2 = 3$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{5\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{i}{2} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha}, \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{5}{16} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{5i}{8} \frac{1 + \alpha^2}{\pi \alpha} - \frac{a((1 - \alpha^2)^2 - 3\alpha^2 g(0))}{4\pi^2 a^2} \end{split}$$

In particular, there is an even number of the pure imaginary eigenvalues in each case.

Questions

- 1. What happens if we drop the self-adjointness conditions?
- 2. Do we still obtain the same expansions?

We need to choose our boundary conditions such the problem is Birkhoff regular.

We define

$$\Theta_1 = \{s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} : B_s(\lambda) \text{ depends on } \lambda\}, \ \Theta_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \setminus \Theta_1,$$

$$\Theta_1^0 = \Theta_1 \cap \{1, 2\}, \quad \Theta_1^a = \Theta_1 \cap \{3, 4\},$$
(10)

and

$$\Lambda = \{ s \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} : p_s > -\infty \}, \ \Lambda^0 = \Lambda \cap \{1, 2\}, \ \Lambda^a = \Lambda \cap \{3, 4\}.$$
(11)

Assumption

We assume that the numbers p_s for $s \in \Lambda^0$, q_j for $j \in \Theta_1^0$ are distinct and that the numbers p_s for $s \in \Lambda^a$, q_j for $j \in \Theta_1^a$ are distinct.

Let $p_i, q_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where p_i, q_i are as defined in Assumption 0.3, i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Let u such that u = 0 if i = 1, 2 and u = 1 if j = 3, 4. Let C(r, u), r = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, u = 0, 1, be the following conditions, for l=1,2: C(1, u): $p_{1+2u} > q_{1+2u} + 2$, $p_{2+2u} > q_{2+2u} + 2$; C(2, u): $p_{1+2u} > q_{1+2u} + 2$, $q_{2+2u} + 2 > p_{2+2u}$; C(3,u): $p_{1+2u} > q_{1+2u} + 2$, $p_{2+2u} = q_{2+2u} + 2$ and $\beta_{2+2u} \neq (-1)^l$; C(4, u): $q_{1+2u} + 2 > p_{1+2u}, q_{2+2u} + 2 > p_{2+2u}$; C(5, u): $q_{1+2u} + 2 > p_{1+2u}, p_{2+2u} = q_{2+2u} + 2,$ $\beta_{2+2u} \neq \begin{cases} (-1)^{l+1} & \text{if} \quad q_{1+2u} - q_{2+2u} = 1, \\ (-1)^{l} & \text{if} \quad q_{1+2u} - q_{2+2u} = 3. \end{cases}$

Using the classifications done by Zinsou: Fourth order Birkhoff regular problems with eigenvalue parameter dependent boundary conditions. Turk J Math **40** (2016), 864–873, we have the following proposition

Proposition

The problem (4), (5) is Birkhoff regular if and only if there are $r_0, r_1 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ such that the conditions $C(r_0, 0)$ and $C(r_1, 1)$ hold.

Hence, we write the boundary conditions (5) as

$$\begin{cases} y^{[p_1]}(0) = 0, & y^{[p_2]}(0) = 0, \\ y^{[p_3]}(a) + i\beta_3 \lambda y^{[q_3]}(a) = 0, & y^{[p_4]}(a) + i\beta_4 \lambda y^{[q_4]}(a) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(12)

where $0 \le p_1 < p_2 \le 3$, $0 \le q_3 < p_3 \le 3$, $0 \le q_4 < p_4 \le 3$ and $0 < p_3 < p_4 \le 3$.

We will distinguish the following different cases of boundary conditions at the endpoint 0:

$$\begin{cases} \text{Case 1}: (p_1, p_2) = (0, 1), \ \text{Case 2}: (p_1, p_2) = (0, 2), \\ \text{Case 3}: (p_1, p_2) = (0, 3), \ \text{Case 4}: (p_1, p_2) = (1, 2), \\ \text{Case 5}: (p_1, p_2) = (1, 3), \ \text{Case 6}: (p_1, p_2) = (2, 3). \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

However the boundary conditions at the right endpoint *a* will be classified as

$$y''(a) + i\beta_3 \lambda y, (a) = 0, \quad y^{[3]}(a) + i\beta_4 \lambda y(a) = 0.$$
 (14)

Theorem

For $g \in C^1[0,a]$, there exists a positive integer k_0 such that the eigenvalues λ_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ of the problem (4), (12), where $B_1(y) = y^{[p_1]}(0)$, $B_2(y) = y^{[p_2]}(0)$, $B_3y = y''(a) + i\beta_3\lambda y'(a)$ and $B_4y = y^{[3]}(a) + i\beta_4\lambda y(a)$ are $\lambda_{-k} = -\overline{\lambda_k}$, $\lambda_k = \mu_k^2$ for $k \ge k_0$ and the μ_k have the asymptotics

$$\mu_k = k \frac{\pi}{a} + \tau_0 + \frac{\tau_1}{k} + \frac{\tau_2}{k^2} + o(k^{-2})$$

and the numbers τ_0 , τ_1 , τ_2 are as follows:

Case 1:
$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 1$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \, \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \, \frac{i}{\pi} \, \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3}, \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{1}{16} \, \frac{G(a)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{4} \, \frac{ag(0)}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{4} \, \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 + 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} + \frac{1}{8} \, \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3}. \end{split}$$

Theorem (cont)

Case 2:
$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 2$

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{\pi}{2a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3}, \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{1}{8} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 + 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3}. \end{split}$$

Case 3:
$$p_1 = 0$$
, $p_2 = 3$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{5\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_3 \beta_4 - 1}{\pi \beta_3} - \frac{i}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi}, \\ \tau_2 &= -\frac{1}{16} \frac{aG^2(a)}{\pi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{aG(a)}{\pi^2} \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 - 1}{\beta_3} + \frac{5}{8} \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 - 1}{\pi \beta_3} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 - 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} - \frac{5i}{16} \frac{G(a)}{\pi}. \end{split}$$

Theorem (cont)

Case 4:
$$p_1 = 1$$
, $p_2 = 2$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{5\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_3 \beta_4 - 1}{\pi \beta_3} - \frac{i}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi}, \\ \tau_2 &= -\frac{1}{16} \frac{aG^2(a)}{\pi^2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{aG(a)}{\pi^2} \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 - 1}{\beta_3} + \frac{5}{8} \frac{\beta_4 \beta_3 - 1}{\pi \beta_3} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 - 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} - \frac{5i}{16} \frac{G(a)}{\pi}. \end{split}$$

Case 5:
$$p_1 = 1$$
, $p_2 = 3$,

$$\tau_0 = -\frac{\pi}{a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3},$$

$$\tau_2 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 + 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\beta_3}.$$

Theorem (cont)

Case 6:
$$p_1 = 2$$
, $p_2 = 3$,

$$\begin{split} \tau_0 &= -\frac{5\pi}{4a}, \ \tau_1 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\pi \beta_3}, \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{5}{16} \frac{G(a)}{\pi} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{ag(0)}{\pi^2} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{a(\beta_3^2 \beta_4^2 + 2\beta_3 \beta_4 + 1)}{\pi^2 \beta_3^2} + \frac{5}{8} \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1 - \beta_3 \beta_4}{\pi \beta_3}. \end{split}$$

In particular, there is an odd number of pure imaginary eigenvalues in each case.

Thank you