

# $JC$ -spaces and $J$ -frames

by Simo S. Mthethwa

Stellenbosch University, 06-08 December 2022

*simomthethwa@gmail.com*

SAMS2022



# $JC$ -spaces

## $J$ Connected Spaces

- A space  $X$  is  $JC$ -space if whenever  $X$  is a union of two of its *closed* subsets with a connected intersection, then one of the closed sets is connected.

## Theorem

*Every connected space is a  $JC$ -space.*

## Proof.

**Idea:** If we express a connected space  $X$  as a union of two of its closed subsets whose intersection is connected, then both of the two closed subsets are connected. □

# $JC$ -spaces

## $J$ Connected Spaces

- A space  $X$  is  $JC$ -**space** if whenever  $X$  is a union of two of its *closed* subsets with a connected intersection, then one of the closed sets is connected.

## Theorem

*Every connected space is a  $JC$ -space.*

## Proof.

**Idea:** If we express a connected space  $X$  as a union of two of its closed subsets whose intersection is connected, then both of the two closed subsets are connected. □

# $JC$ -spaces

## $J$ Connected Spaces

- A space  $X$  is  **$JC$ -space** if whenever  $X$  is a union of two of its *closed* subsets with a connected intersection, then one of the closed sets is connected.

## Theorem

*Every connected space is a  $JC$ -space.*

## Proof.

**Idea:** If we express a connected space  $X$  as a union of two of its closed subsets whose intersection is connected, then both of the two closed subsets are connected. □

# $JC$ -spaces

## $J$ Connected Spaces

- A space  $X$  is  $JC$ -**space** if whenever  $X$  is a union of two of its *closed* subsets with a connected intersection, then one of the closed sets is connected.

## Theorem

*Every connected space is a  $JC$ -space.*

## Proof.

**Idea:** If we express a connected space  $X$  as a union of two of its closed subsets whose intersection is connected, then both of the two closed subsets are connected. □

# Examples

## Theorem

*If a space  $X$  is a union of two non-empty disjoint connected subsets, then it is a  $JC$ -space.*

## EXAMPLES

1. Consider  $X = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is a disconnected  $JC$ -space.
2. **GJ**:  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \mathbb{R}^-$  are disjoint connected subsets of  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  and their union is  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .  
So,  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is another disconnected  $JC$ -space.

# Examples

## Theorem

*If a space  $X$  is a union of two non-empty disjoint connected subsets, then it is a  $JC$ -space.*

## EXAMPLES

1. Consider  $X = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is a disconnected  $JC$ -space.
2. **GJ**:  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \mathbb{R}^-$  are disjoint connected subsets of  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  and their union is  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .  
So,  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is another disconnected  $JC$ -space.

# Examples

## Theorem

*If a space  $X$  is a union of two non-empty disjoint connected subsets, then it is a  $JC$ -space.*

## EXAMPLES

1. Consider  $X = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is a disconnected  $JC$ -space.
2. **GJ:**  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \mathbb{R}^-$  are disjoint connected subsets of  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  and their union is  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .  
So,  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is another disconnected  $JC$ -space.



# Examples

## Theorem

*If a space  $X$  is a union of two non-empty disjoint connected subsets, then it is a  $JC$ -space.*

## EXAMPLES

1. Consider  $X = (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is a disconnected  $JC$ -space.
2. **GJ:**  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\text{cl } \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \mathbb{R}^-$  are disjoint connected subsets of  $\beta\mathbb{R}$  and their union is  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .  
So,  $\beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  is **another disconnected  $JC$ -space.**

# Non-examples

## Non-examples of $JC$ -spaces

- 1. Consider the space  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Put

$$A = (-\infty, 0] \cap \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad B = [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$ ,  $A, B$  are closed subsets in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $A \cap B = \{0\}$  is connected. However neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $JC$ -space.

- 2. Consider  $X = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , as subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is not a  $JC$ -space: Simply note that

$$A = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad B = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$$

are closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B$  is connected, but neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected.

# Non-examples

## Non-examples of $JC$ -spaces

- 1. Consider the space  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Put

$$A = (-\infty, 0] \cap \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad B = [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$ ,  $A, B$  are closed subsets in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $A \cap B = \{0\}$  is connected. However neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $JC$ -space.

- 2. Consider  $X = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , as subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is not a  $JC$ -space: Simply note that

$$A = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad B = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$$

are closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B$  is connected, but neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected.

# Non-examples

## Non-examples of $JC$ -spaces

- 1. Consider the space  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Put

$$A = (-\infty, 0] \cap \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad B = [0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{Q} = A \cup B$ ,  $A, B$  are closed subsets in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $A \cap B = \{0\}$  is connected. However neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a  $JC$ -space.

- 2. Consider  $X = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$ , as subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $X$  is not a  $JC$ -space: Simply note that

$$A = (-3, 0) \cup (0, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad B = (0, 1) \cup (1, 2)$$

are closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \cap B$  is connected, but neither  $A$  nor  $B$  is connected.

# More on $JC$ -spaces

## Basic Results

- 1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone closed mapping from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 2. Let  $Z$  be a connected space and  $Y$  be any space. Then  $Z \times Y$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 3... one can say more about  $JC$ -spaces...

# More on $JC$ -spaces

## Basic Results

- 1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone closed mapping from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 2. Let  $Z$  be a connected space and  $Y$  be any space. Then  $Z \times Y$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 3... one can say more about  $JC$ -spaces...

# More on $JC$ -spaces

## Basic Results

- 1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone closed mapping from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 2. Let  $Z$  be a connected space and  $Y$  be any space. Then  $Z \times Y$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 3... one can say more about  $JC$ -spaces...

# More on $JC$ -spaces

## Basic Results

- 1. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a monotone closed mapping from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 2. Let  $Z$  be a connected space and  $Y$  be any space. Then  $Z \times Y$  is a  $JC$ -space if and only if  $Y$  is a  $JC$ -space.
- 3... **one can say more about  $JC$ -spaces...**



# $F$ -compactness

## $J$ -spaces

- 1. A space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if any closed binary cover with a compact intersection has a compact member (E. Michael, 2000).
- 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called  $F$ -compact if whenever  $a \wedge (\bigwedge S) = 0$  for some  $S \subseteq L$ , then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $a \wedge (\bigwedge F) = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $CL(X)$  be the lattice of closed subsets of  $X$ .

## Theorem

$A \in CL(X)$  is  $F$ -compact if and only if  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

# $F$ -compactness

## $J$ -spaces

- 1. A space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if any closed binary cover with a compact intersection has a compact member (E. Michael, 2000).
- 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called  $F$ -compact if whenever  $a \wedge (\bigwedge S) = 0$  for some  $S \subseteq L$ , then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $a \wedge (\bigwedge F) = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $CL(X)$  be the lattice of closed subsets of  $X$ .

## Theorem

$A \in CL(X)$  is  $F$ -compact if and only if  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

# $F$ -compactness

## $J$ -spaces

- 1. A space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if any closed binary cover with a compact intersection has a compact member (E. Michael, 2000).
- 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called  $F$ -compact if whenever  $a \wedge (\bigwedge S) = 0$  for some  $S \subseteq L$ , then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $a \wedge (\bigwedge F) = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $CL(X)$  be the lattice of closed subsets of  $X$ .

## Theorem

$A \in CL(X)$  is  $F$ -compact if and only if  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

# $F$ -compactness

## $J$ -spaces

- 1. A space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if any closed binary cover with a compact intersection has a compact member (E. Michael, 2000).
- 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called  $F$ -compact if whenever  $a \wedge (\bigwedge S) = 0$  for some  $S \subseteq L$ , then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $a \wedge (\bigwedge F) = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $CL(X)$  be the lattice of closed subsets of  $X$ .

## Theorem

$A \in CL(X)$  is  $F$ -compact if and only if  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

# $F$ -compactness

## $J$ -spaces

- 1. A space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if any closed binary cover with a compact intersection has a compact member (E. Michael, 2000).
- 2. Let  $L$  be a complete lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called  $F$ -compact if whenever  $a \wedge (\bigwedge S) = 0$  for some  $S \subseteq L$ , then there exists a finite  $F \subseteq S$  such that  $a \wedge (\bigwedge F) = 0$ .
- 3. Let  $CL(X)$  be the lattice of closed subsets of  $X$ .

## Theorem

$A \in CL(X)$  is  $F$ -compact if and only if  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\sigma(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\sigma(a)$  or  $\sigma(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathcal{O}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

- A)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.
- B)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\sigma(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\sigma(a)$  or  $\sigma(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathcal{O}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

- A)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.
- B)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\langle a \vee b \rangle$  is compact, then either  $\langle a \rangle$  or  $\langle b \rangle$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice.

## EXAMPLES

- A)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.
- B)  $\mathcal{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.



# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathfrak{O}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

A)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.

B)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathfrak{O}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

A)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.

B)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathfrak{O}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

A)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.

B)  $\mathfrak{O}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -things

## $J$ -lattices

- 1. A complete lattice  $L$  is called a  $J$ -lattice if whenever  $a \vee b = 1$  in  $L$  and  $a \wedge b$  is  $F$ -compact, then  $a$  or  $b$  is  $F$ -compact.
- 2.  $CL(X)$  is a  $J$ -lattice if and only if  $X$  is a  $J$ -space.

## $J$ -frames

- 1. We say that a frame  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame if whenever  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$  and the frame  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact.
- 2. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is a  $J$ -space if and only if  $\mathfrak{D}X$  is a  $J$ -frame.

## EXAMPLES

A)  $\mathfrak{D}\mathbb{R}$  is not a  $J$ -frame.

B)  $\mathfrak{D}\mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -frames with no points

## Theorem

*A frame with no points is a  $J$ -frame if and only if it is connected.*

## Example

Let  $L$  be a Boolean frame which has no points. Such an  $L$  is disconnected non-spatial frame which is not  $J$ -frame.

# $J$ -frames with no points

## Theorem

*A frame with no points is a  $J$ -frame if and only if it is connected.*

## Example

Let  $L$  be a Boolean frame which has no points. Such an  $L$  is disconnected non-spatial frame which is not  $J$ -frame.

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □



# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that

$(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But

$\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □

# Characterisation

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.*
- 2. Whenever  $a \in L$ , and  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  compact, then either  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact.*

## Proof.

(1)  $\implies$  (2) This is clear from the definition of  $J$ -frame.

(2)  $\implies$  (1) Suppose  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact, with  $a \wedge b = 0$  in  $L$ . We need to show that  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. Now,  $b \leq a^*$  implies that  $(a \vee b) \leq (a \vee a^*)$ , therefore  $\mathfrak{c}(a \vee a^*)$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, by hypothesis. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a)$  is compact then we are done. If  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*)$  is compact, then  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b)$  is compact. But  $\mathfrak{c}(a^*) \vee \mathfrak{c}(a \vee b) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge (a \vee b)) = \mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$ . So  $\mathfrak{c}(a^* \wedge b)$  is compact, and hence  $\mathfrak{c}(b)$  is compact. □



# Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

## DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.
2.  $M \vee L$  is relatively connected in  $M$ , for any compactification  $M$  of  $L$ .
3.  $pL \vee L$  is relatively connected in  $pL$ .
4. If  $pI = d(J) \vee d(J)$  for some  $I, J \in pL$  and  $d(I) \cap d(J) \subseteq L$ , then  $d(I) \subseteq L$  or  $d(J) \subseteq L$ .

## Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

### DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

### Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

# Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

## DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.
- 2.  $M \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $M$ , for any compactification  $M$  of  $L$ .
- 3.  $\beta L \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $\beta L$ .
- 4. If  $\beta L = \mathfrak{c}(I) \vee \mathfrak{c}(J)$  for some  $I, J \in \beta L$  and  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \cap \mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ , then  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \subseteq L$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ .

# Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

## DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

## Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.
- 2.  $M \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $M$ , for any compactification  $M$  of  $L$ .
- 3.  $\beta L \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $\beta L$ .
- 4. If  $\beta L = \mathfrak{c}(I) \vee \mathfrak{c}(J)$  for some  $I, J \in \beta L$  and  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \cap \mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ , then  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \subseteq L$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ .

## Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

### DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

### Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.
- 2.  $M \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $M$ , for any compactification  $M$  of  $L$ .
- 3.  $\beta L \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $\beta L$ .
- 4. If  $\beta L = \mathfrak{c}(I) \vee \mathfrak{c}(J)$  for some  $I, J \in \beta L$  and  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \cap \mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ , then  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \subseteq L$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ .

## Relative connectedness and $J$ -frames

### DEFINITION:

A sublocale  $S$  of a frame  $L$  is *relatively connected* in  $L$  if whenever  $S \subseteq U$ , where  $U$  is an open sublocale of  $L$  with  $U = U_1 \vee U_2$  for some open sublocales  $U_1, U_2$  of  $L$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ , then  $S \cap U_1 = \mathbb{O}$  or  $S \cap U_2 = \mathbb{O}$ .

### Theorem

*The following conditions are equivalent for a non-compact completely regular frame  $L$ :*

- 1.  $L$  is a  $J$ -frame.
- 2.  $M \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $M$ , for any compactification  $M$  of  $L$ .
- 3.  $\beta L \setminus L$  is relatively connected in  $\beta L$ .
- 4. If  $\beta L = \mathfrak{c}(I) \vee \mathfrak{c}(J)$  for some  $I, J \in \beta L$  and  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \cap \mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ , then  $\mathfrak{c}(I) \subseteq L$  or  $\mathfrak{c}(J) \subseteq L$ .

## REFERENCE:

1. Michael, E., *J-spaces*, Top. Appl. **102** (2000), 315–339.