

Eigenvalues of small rank parametric perturbations of H -expansive matrices

Dawie Janse van Rensburg (NWU),

in collaboration with Gilbert Groenewald (NWU) and André Ran (VU, The Netherlands & NWU)



Outline

1. Indefinite inner product space
2. Jordan form
3. Different classes for the matrix pair (A, H)
4. Canonical forms vs simple forms
5. Low rank perturbation
6. Results
7. Examples

Indefinite inner product space

- Function $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is called an indefinite inner product in $\mathbb{C}^n$ if:
 - Linear in the first argument
 - Antisymmetric
 - nondegenerate, i.e., if $[x, y] = 0 \forall y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then $x = 0$
- Only exception from the standard inner product is that $[x, x]$ may be nonpositive for $n \neq 0$.
- For every $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix H , i.e., $H = H^*$
$$[x, y] = \langle Hx, y \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$$
determines an inner product on $\mathbb{C}^n$.
- Converse also holds.

Jordan form

A matrix A in (real or complex) Jordan form is expressed as the sum of Jordan segments, that is,

$$A = J(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus J(\lambda_p) \quad \text{or} \quad A = J(\gamma_1) \oplus \dots \oplus J(\gamma_p).$$

Each Jordan segment consists of blocks of the form

$$J_n(\lambda_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & \lambda_i & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad J_n(\gamma_i) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_i & I_2 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & \gamma_i & I_2 & 0 & \dots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & I_2 \\ & & & & \gamma_i \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{bmatrix}$ for a complex eigenvalue $\lambda = a \pm ib$.

Different classes for the matrix pair (A, H)

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, and let $H = H^*$ and invertible of size $n \times n$. Then A is called:

$H - expansive$	when $A^*HA - H \geq 0$;
$H - contractive$	when $A^*HA - H \leq 0$;
$H - unitary$	when $A^*HA - H = 0$.

For the last class canonical forms exist.

For the first two classes, only simple forms exist.

Goal: Interested in eigenvalues of small rank perturbations within these classes.

Canonical forms and Simple forms for (A, H)

Let A be in Jordan canonical form, i.e., $A = J_n(1) \oplus J_n(1)$, when A only has eigenvalue 1 with n even. Then the canonical form for the corresponding matrix H when A is H -unitary is:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_n \\ 0 & 0 & -Q_n^T & 0 \\ 0 & -Q_n & 0 & 0 \\ Q_n^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where Q_n is a $\frac{n}{2} \times \frac{n}{2}$ matrix with known entries.

If A is H -expansive only a simple form for matrix H exists. Here H is for example

$$H = c \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & \dots & & & & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & & & & & \dots & \\ \vdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & & (-1)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} & * \\ & & & & & (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} & \\ \hline & & & (-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} & & & \\ \vdots & & (-1)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} & & & & \\ 0 & \dots & & * & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & \end{array} \right].$$

Low rank perturbation

Consider the example where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalue of A is $\lambda = 0$ with multiplicity 3.

However, the characteristic polynomial of B is given by $p_B(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - \varepsilon$, so the distinct eigenvalues of B are given by $\varepsilon^{1/3} \exp^{2k\pi i/3}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. **Conclusions:**

- Rank of a matrix is a discontinuous function of its entries;
- Eigenvalues can completely change with small rank perturbations;
- Matlab is very sensitive when it comes to computations.

First observation

Proposition *Let A be H -unitary. Then a perturbation $B = A + UV^*A = (I + UV^*)A$, with U and V both of size $n \times k$ and rank k is also H -unitary if and only if $V = HUE$ where E is an invertible $k \times k$ matrix satisfying*

$$U^*HU = -(E^{-1} + (E^*)^{-1}) = -E^{-1}(E + E^*)(E^*)^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Proof.

$$B^*HB = A^*HA + A^*(VU^*H + HUV^* + VU^*HUV^*)A$$

This is equal to H if and only if

$$V(U^*H + U^*HUV^*) = -HUV^*.$$

Both sides have rank k implies there is an invertible $k \times k$ matrix E such that $V = HUE$.

$$HUEU^*H + HUE^*U^*H + HUEU^*HUE^*U^*H = 0.$$

Now, H is invertible, and $LU = I_k$, thus

$$E + E^* + E(U^*HU)E^* = 0.$$

□

Second observation

Proposition *Let A and H be real $n \times n$ matrices, such that $H = H^T$ is invertible and A is H -orthogonal, and let U be an $n \times k$ real matrix and E be a $k \times k$ real matrix such that (1) holds. Let G be a skew-symmetric real $k \times k$ matrix such that $E = -2(U^T H U - 2G)^{-1}$. Consider the matrix $B = (I - 2U(U^* H U + 2G)^{-1}U^* H)A$. Then*

$$\det B = (-1)^k \det A.$$

For odd k the H -orthogonal matrix B is not in the same connected component as the matrix A , while for even k the matrix B is in the same connected component as the matrix A .

Rank k perturbation vs k consecutive rank 1 perturbations

Proposition Let A be H -expansive, U_1 an $n \times k$ matrix, and U_2 an $n \times l$ matrix. Let G_1 and G_2 be skew-Hermitian such that $U_i^* H U_i + 2G_i$ is invertible for $i = 1, 2$. Form the rank k perturbation

$$B_1 = (I - 2U_1(U_1^* H U_1 + 2G_1)^{-1}U_1^* H)A,$$

and consider the rank l perturbation of B_1 given by

$$B_2 = (I - 2U_2(U_2^* H U_2 + 2G_2)^{-1}U_2^* H)B_1.$$

Then B_2 can be viewed as a rank $k + l$ perturbation of A , given by

$$B_2 = \left(I - 2 \begin{bmatrix} U_2 & U_1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} U_2^* \\ U_1^* \end{bmatrix} H \begin{bmatrix} U_2 & U_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2G_2 & U_2^* H U_1 \\ -U_1^* H U_2 & 2G_1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} U_2^* \\ U_1^* \end{bmatrix} H \right) A.$$

Small rank perturbations of H-expansive matrices

Proposition *Let A be H -expansive, and let $B(t) = (I + tUE^*U^*H)A$, where E satisfies (1). Then $B(t)$ is also H -expansive in one of the following two cases:*

- a. U^*HU is positive semidefinite and either $t \leq 0$ or $t \geq 1$,
- b. U^*HU is negative semidefinite and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. Computing $B(t)^*HB(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B(t)^*HB(t) &= (A^* + tA^*HUEU^*)H(A + tUE^*U^*HA) \\ &= A^*HA + (t - t^2)A^*HU(E + E^*)U^*HA. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Hence

$$B^*(t)HB(t) - H = A^*HA - H + (t - t^2)A^*HU(E + E^*)U^*HA$$

In particular, since A is H -expansive

$$B(t)^*HB(t) - H \geq (t - t^2)A^*HU(E + E^*)U^*HA. \quad (3)$$

Recall, (1) the signatures of U^*HU and $-E - E^*$ coincide. $\square$

Proposition *Let the $n \times n$ matrix A be H -expansive, and let U be an $n \times k$ matrix such that U^*HU is positive definite or negative definite. Let E be a $k \times k$ invertible matrix such that (1) is satisfied, and let $B(t) = (I + tUE^*U^*H)A$. Then the following hold:*

- a. *In case U^*HU is positive definite then $B(t)$ can only have an eigenvalue in $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(A)$ when $0 < t \leq 1$*
- b. *In case U^*HU is negative definite then $B(t)$ can only have an eigenvalue in $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(A)$ when either $t < 0$ or $t \geq 1$.*

H-unitary case

Next, we specialize to the case where A is H -unitary. The inequality becomes an equality:

$$B(t)^*HB(t) - H = (t - t^2)A^*HU(E + E^*)U^*HA.$$

We can sharpen an earlier proposition to the following:

Proposition *Let A be H -unitary, and let $B(t) = (I + tUE^*U^*H)A$, where E satisfies (1). Then $B(t)$ is H -expansive if and only if:*

*U^*HU is positive semidefinite and either $t \leq 0$ or $t \geq 1$,*

*U^*HU is negative semidefinite and $0 \leq t \leq 1$.*

Also, $B(t)$ is H -contractive if and only if:

*U^*HU is positive semidefinite and $0 \leq t \leq 1$,*

*U^*HU is negative semidefinite and either $t \leq 0$ or $t \geq 1$.*

*In all other cases $B(t)^*HB(t) - H$ is indefinite.*

Proposition *Let matrix A be H -unitary, and let U be an $n \times k$ matrix such that $U^* H U$ is positive definite or negative definite. Let E be a $k \times k$ invertible matrix chosen as before, and let $B(t) = (I + t U E^* U^* H) A$. Then $B(t)$ can only have an eigenvalue in $\mathbb{T} \setminus \sigma(A)$ when $t = 1$.*

Eigenvalues cross the unit circle as t increases through $t = 1$

Proposition *Let A be H -unitary, and let $B(t) = (I + tUE^*U^*H)A$, where E is chosen as before. Suppose that for $t = 1$, $B(t)$ has an eigenvalue λ on the unit circle which is not an eigenvalue of A . Viewing λ as a function of t , we have the following two possibilities*

1. λ crosses the unit circle from outside to inside if either $E + E^* > 0$ and $\langle Hx, x \rangle > 0$ or $E + E^* < 0$ and $\langle Hx, x \rangle < 0$,
2. λ crosses the unit circle from inside to outside if either $E + E^* > 0$ and $\langle Hx, x \rangle < 0$ or $E + E^* < 0$ and $\langle Hx, x \rangle > 0$.

Examples

Example 1: Let $A = J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1)$ and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A is H -expansive, i.e., $A^*HA - H \geq 0$;

First two Jordan blocks are "coupled";

Last two blocks are not coupled.

For a real u randomly generated in Matlab ($u = \text{randn}(8,1)$), we see that:

Generically B will have 3 blocks of size 2 at eigenvalues 1,

Two real eigenvalues not equal to one.

Conclusion: the rank one perturbation has a preference to destroy the uncoupled block.

Example 2: Let $A = J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1)$ and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we would take very specific vectors u , namely those with the last four entries zero, then something else happen:

There are two Jordan blocks with size 2 at eigenvalue 1

One Jordan block of size 3 at eigenvalue 1, and also an eigenvalue at -1.

Conclusion: this is because then we only make the perturbation to the coupled blocks.

References

C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A.C.M. Ran, L. Rodman: Eigenvalue perturbation theory of symplectic, orthogonal, and unitary matrices under generic structured rank one perturbations. *BIT Numerical Mathematics* 54 (2014), 219–255.

J.H. Fourie, G.J. Groenewald, D.B. Janse van Rensburg, and A.C.M. Ran: Rank one perturbations of H -positive real matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 439 (2013), 653–674.

J.H. Fourie, G.J. Groenewald, D.B. Janse van Rensburg, and A.C.M. Ran: Simple forms and invariant subspaces of H -expansive matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 470 (2015), 300–340.

G.J. Groenewald, D.B. Janse van Rensburg, and A.C.M. Ran: A canonical form for H -unitary matrices. *Operators and Matrices*. 10 (2016), 739–783.

Thank you for your attention

Let $A = J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1) \oplus J_2(1)$ and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

A is H -expansive

For generic u there are 4 eigenvalues at 1, and two real eigenvalues, since the uncoupled block is destroyed and replaced by two real eigenvalues.

Product of these real eigenvalues is -1 .

For non-generic case where $u_6 = 0$, the perturbed matrix $B = (I - \frac{2}{u^T H u} u u^T H)^{-1} A$ has five eigenvalues 1 and one eigenvalue equal to -1 .

Compare the case where A is H -orthogonal.
