

## Dual Codes (Duale Kodes)

Definitions: Let  $\underline{u} = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n$  and  $\underline{v} = v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$

be vectors of  $V(n, q)$ . The inner product (binnenprodukt)

of  $\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{v}$  is the scalar

$$\underline{u} \cdot \underline{v} = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_n v_n.$$

$\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{v}$  are orthogonal if  $\underline{u} \cdot \underline{v} = 0$ .

If  $C$  is a  $q$ -ary  $[n, k]$ -code, then the dual of  $C$  is defined by

$$C^\perp = \left\{ \underline{v} \in V(n, q) : \underline{u} \cdot \underline{v} = 0 \text{ for all } \underline{u} \in C \right\},$$

that is,  $C^\perp$  consists of all vectors of  $V(n, q)$  that are orthogonal to every codeword of  $C$ .

Question: Is  $C^\perp$  a linear code?

Lemma 3.5 For any  $\underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V(n, q)$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in GF(q)$ ,

$$1. \underline{u} \cdot \underline{v} = \underline{v} \cdot \underline{u}$$

$$2. (\lambda \underline{u} + \mu \underline{v}) \cdot \underline{w} = \lambda (\underline{u} \cdot \underline{w}) + \mu (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{w}).$$

Proof: See the notes.

Lemma 3.6

Suppose  $C$  is a  $q$ -ary  $[n, k]$ -code with generator matrix  $G$ . Then  $\underline{v} \in C^\perp$  if and only if  $\underline{v} G^T = \underline{0}$ , that is,  $\underline{v}$  is in the dual of  $C$  if and only if  $\underline{v}$  is orthogonal to every row of  $G$ .

Proof: Suppose  $\underline{v} \in C^\perp$ . Then  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{u} = 0$  for all  $\underline{u} \in C$ . Therefore  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r} = 0$  for every row  $\underline{r}$  of  $G$ , since the rows of  $G$  are codewords.

Conversely, suppose  $\underline{r}_1, \underline{r}_2, \dots, \underline{r}_k$  are the rows of  $G$  and that  $\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Consider any  $\underline{u} \in C$ . Then there are scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in GF(q)$  such that

$$\underline{u} = \lambda_1 \underline{r}_1 + \lambda_2 \underline{r}_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \underline{r}_k. \quad \text{Therefore}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{v} \cdot \underline{u} &= \underline{v} \cdot (\lambda_1 \underline{r}_1 + \lambda_2 \underline{r}_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \underline{r}_k) \\ &= \lambda_1 (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r}_1) + \lambda_2 (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r}_2) + \dots + \lambda_k (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{r}_k) \quad \leftarrow \text{(by Lemma 3.5)} \\ &= \lambda_1 0 + \lambda_2 0 + \dots + \lambda_k 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\underline{v} \in C^\perp$ . ■

Theorem 3.7 If  $C$  is a  $q$ -ary  $[n, k]$ -code, then  $C^\perp$  is a  $q$ -ary  $[n, n-k]$ -code.

Proof: Let  $C$  be a  $q$ -ary  $[n, k]$ -code.

Suppose that  $v_1, v_2 \in C^\perp$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in GF(q)$ .

For every  $u \in C$ ,

$$(\lambda v_1 + \mu v_2) \cdot u = \lambda(v_1 \cdot u) + \mu(v_2 \cdot u) \leftarrow \text{(by Lemma 3.5)}$$
$$= \lambda 0 + \mu 0 = 0,$$

and therefore  $\lambda v_1 + \mu v_2 \in C^\perp$ .

This shows that  $C^\perp$  is a linear code.

We must still show that  $C^\perp$  has dimension  $n-k$ .

By equivalence of codes, we may assume that  $C$  has a standard form generator matrix

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 0 & a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,n-k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & a_{k,1} & \dots & a_{k,n-k} \end{bmatrix}$$

By Lemma 3.6, the elements of  $C^\perp$  are precisely those vectors  $v = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= -a_{1,1} v_{k+1} - \dots - a_{1,n-k} v_n \\ &\vdots \\ v_k &= -a_{k,1} v_{k+1} - \dots - a_{k,n-k} v_n \end{aligned}$$

